**Optimal Blockchain Solution for IoT**

**IA7900 - CAPSTONE PROJECT**

**Team Members:**

Renuka Muppavarapu

Sri Harsha Mekala

Sri Pravallika Maddipati

Varun Mamillapalli

Table of Contents

[**1. INTRODUCTION** 1](#_Toc467274197)

[**1.1 Internet-of-Things** 1](#_Toc467274198)

[**1.2 IoT Security** 2](#_Toc467274199)

[**1.3 Blockchains for IoT Security** 3](#_Toc467274200)

[**2. Bitcoin** 5](#_Toc467274201)

[**2.1 What is a Blockchain?** 6](#_Toc467274202)

[**2.2 Identity management in Blockchain:** 8](#_Toc467274203)

[**2.3 Security, Transparency, and Tamper-Proof Data Storage:** 10](#_Toc467274204)

[**2.4 Limitations of Bitcoin Blockchain:** 13](#_Toc467274205)

[**2.5 Risks of Adopting Blockchain:** 14](#_Toc467274206)

[**3. Ethereum** 15](#_Toc467274207)

[**3.1 GHOST Protocol:** 16](#_Toc467274208)

[**3.2 Smart contracts:** 17](#_Toc467274209)

[**3.3 Oracles:** 18](#_Toc467274210)

[**3.4 Public vs Private blockchains:** 20](#_Toc467274211)

[**3.5 Algorithms and Protocols:** 20](#_Toc467274212)

[**4. Deploying a private blockchain using Ethereum on Raspberry Pi devices** 30](#_Toc467274213)

[**4.1 Prerequisites:** 31](#_Toc467274214)

[**4.2 Custom Genesis Block:** 32](#_Toc467274215)

[**4.3 Operating on a Private blockchain:** 33](#_Toc467274216)

[**4.4 Benchmarking Ethereum** 37](#_Toc467274217)

[**4.5 Observations:** 39](#_Toc467274218)

[**5. Eris** 48](#_Toc467274219)

[**5.1 Features**: 48](#_Toc467274220)

[**5.2 Components**: 50](#_Toc467274221)

[**5.3 Tendermint:** 51](#_Toc467274222)

[**6. Deploy Eris blockchain on IoT (ARM) devices** 54](#_Toc467274223)

[**6.1 Prerequisites:** 54](#_Toc467274224)

[**References:** 57](#_Toc467274225)

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Internet-of-Things**

The Internet-of-Things is the global network of intelligent and connected devices utilizing Wi-Fi capabilities and sensors. Embedding electronics into physical objects builds some intelligence into them making them “smart” thereby enabling these devices to communicate with each other. These devices can range from industrial control systems, automobiles, wearable devices, medical equipment, smart home devices, smart energy management devices etc. In this manner, the predictable channels of an information system are rapidly changing and the physical world is becoming increasingly smart. The steep rise in the number of connected devices can be attributed to the ubiquitous broadband internet, low connection costs and availability of a large number of smart devices. Following are a few applications of IoT which throw light on the advancements in wireless network technologies and the extent to which they can be leveraged for the purpose of automation and control, information analysis. Rental cars with embedded sensors provide the customers with the flexibility to pick up cars from pick up spots and return them rather than having rental centers. The embedded sensors help in tracking the car, the distance travelled, the rental duration etc. The sensors in retail membership cards retrieve the shopper’s data and apply the appropriate discounts at the point of sale. Airplane manufacturers are considering building the airframes with embedded sensors which send important data regarding the health of the critical components to monitoring systems. This can help mitigate unprecedented downtime and also provides scope for proactive maintenance. In many manufacturing industries, for instance, the paper industry demands manual adjustments of temperature for lime kilns which can be automated through the use of sensors and also they are networked to alert the operators in case of the temperature rising beyond the threshold. [1]

While the IoT solutions have provided great benefits so far in terms of ubiquitous networks, process optimization, optimized resource consumption and enhanced tracking and monitoring solutions, there are several shortcomings which hamper our ability to tap their complete potential. The high infrastructure and maintenance costs that accompany centralized clouds and huge server farms, compatibility, complexity and privacy concerns are some of the significant challenges posed. Decentralization of the IoT using peer to peer computing for processing the large volume of IoT transactions could reduce the costs associated with setting up centralized data centers significantly. Also, the securing through “transparency” instead of securing through “obscurity” can serve as a better approach to secure the IoT by reducing the vulnerability to targeted intrusions and surveillance. With IoT, the conventional routes of information exchange are expanding raising concerns in the security space since there are more avenues for exchanging information and all of the transmitted data needs to be secured.

## **1.2 IoT Security**

In the recent past, there have been several distributed denial of service (DDOS) attacks which were launched by compromising millions of IoT devices. One such attack with a strength of 1.2 Tbps was conducted against the Dyn DNS service provider disrupting the access to several websites. The botnet comprising of IoT devices include digital video recorders, routers and compromised web cameras infected by the Mirai malware. The DDOS attack on Brian Krebs’ security blog was also a powerful one with a strength of 665 Gbps and involved millions of compromised IoT devices. Security Researchers believe that the attack groups targeting IoT devices are proliferating by the day. With the steady rise in IoT devices, they form easy targets for attackers since several users do not change the default passwords of the devices and also do not update the firmware as the patches are rolled. [2] The Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP) has recently released a list of top 10 vulnerabilities in IoT devices. “Insecure web interface, insufficient authentication/authorization, insecure network services, lack of transport encryption, privacy concerns, insecure cloud interface, insecure mobile interface, insufficient security configurability, insecure software/firmware and poor physical security” have been identified as the top 10 vulnerabilities in IoT devices. [3]

## **1.3 Blockchains for IoT Security**

Several organizations are conducting research on leveraging the blockchain technology, primarily used in electronic financial transactions, as the core principle to exchange information between these internet-of-things. Companies from various industries like healthcare, electronics, telecommunications, networks, transportation, and banking are coming forward to make this idea a reality. One such collaborative effort is the Hyperledger project. It is believed that the blockchain technology can be very efficient in exchanging information between devices and companies with minimal cost and complexity while maintaining trust, accountability, and transparency. However, the blockchain technology used in Bitcoins cannot be directly applied for internet-of-things. Depending on the type of company and the information being exchanged, there are many issues that need to be resolved. Following are some of them:

* **Unique Identification:** Each device connected to the internet should have a unique identifier. This Id is used to track the device in the ledger. Usually, this is achieved by assigning a pair of keys (public and private) to each device. However, devices like lights and CCTV cameras might not have built-in capacity to manage these cryptography keys. IP address cannot be used for this purpose due to their dynamic nature and the presence of private IP addresses.
* **Double Spending issue:** Mining and proof-of-work functions are used eliminate the transactions involving double spending. This is only useful while transferring assets with monetary value. In other cases involving the transfer of data, the same information from a device can be sent to different devices at any time. But, there should be some protocol to build a blockchain and append blocks to it in a systematic manner.
* **Cryptographic Computation:** The devices in IoT may not have the computational capacity to perform complex cryptographic calculations involved in the blockchain model. Since it is estimated that 50 billion devices will be connected to the internet by 2020, there should be enough devices online at any point of time, to perform these calculations.
* **Information exchange:** While it may be relatively easy to transfer the information and maintain a ledger for devices of the same type, IoT will have different types of devices and each device might store/process different kinds of information. Maintaining all this information in a single ledger might get complex and difficult to manage. Alternatives like sidechain can be utilized to solve this problem.
* **Trusting the devices:** More devices means more risk. Each device might have different vulnerabilities and if they are exploited successfully by an attacker, it might lead to a complete takeover of the device. Blindly trusting all the devices in the blockchain might be a problem.

Although there are many other issues and weaknesses surrounding this technology, it is believed that its advantages outweigh these issues by a large margin.

# **2. Bitcoin**

Bitcoin is a virtual currency that has been created by a person using an alias Satoshi Nakamoto. It was first introduced to a cryptography mailing list on October 31st, 2008, and was released as an open-source software in 2009.[4] The idea behind building this digital or virtual currency is to build a decentralized system which would allow the currency to be electronically transferable with negligible or no transaction fees. This is the first peer-peer network which powers its users with no central authority or banks. These bitcoins are built out of a bitcoin protocol. According to this protocol, there would be a definite number of bitcoins which can be produced (mined) which is 21 million. However, each bitcoin can be further be divided into smaller parts which can go up to as small as one-hundredth million of a bitcoin. This smallest division of the bitcoin is termed as “Satoshi”. [5]

Bitcoin has users that are widespread across the world and it is not controlled by any single person. From a user’s viewpoint, bitcoin is an application which provides a wallet and users the ability to make transactions among each other. To perform transactions, the users are free to use any software they want, as long as the software is compatible to, and complies with the rules of the bitcoin protocol. This electronic payment system is built on a cryptographic proof rather than trust, which is why the need of a third party is eliminated. The transactions made are practically impossible to reverse or destroy. The bitcoin network shares a public ledger called the blockchain. [6]

The basic working of bitcoin can be illustrated in the graphic below:




Figure 2.1 Basic Working of Bitcoin

Source: Zafin. "INFOGRAPHIC: Bitcoin Explained | Relationship Banker: November/December 2015." Web. 17 November 2016.

## **2.1 What is a Blockchain?**

The blockchain technology is primarily used to facilitate the secure transaction of financial assets between two parties. Instead of trusting a third party, the blockchain technology leverages the use of hash functions and distributed systems to provide a cryptographic proof for these electronic transactions. Blockchain is a large database (or ledger) consisting of linearly attached blocks and this ledger is shared by all the distributed devices in the system, which are otherwise called as nodes. Here, each block corresponds to a set of transactions that are being processed at a certain point of time. This block contains two pieces of information – the details of the transactions, and the hash value, that is calculated from the data available from the previous block. The hash value of the previous block is calculated from the data provided by the block before it and this whole process begins from the genesis block, the hard coded first block of a blockchain. This ensures that the data in the overall blockchain is unaltered and final. This blockchain which is shared by all the nodes contains every transaction that has ever happened right from the first ever transaction to the latest one. [7]

A block, before being appended to the blockchain, should be verified for validity by the nodes in the distributed system. This process of verification and confirming the transactions in a block, before its addition to the ledger, is called Mining, and the nodes of the distributed system which involve in this verification process are called miners. All the unconfirmed latest transactions are broadcasted to all the miners in the network. The miners collect all these transactions and generate a hash value using a Hashcash Proof-of-work function. There are two important characteristics of a hash function that are to be noted here – the hash value cannot be reverse engineered to generate the original content, and a unique hash value is generated each time the order of transactions is changed. While it’s relatively easy for a computer to generate a hash value from the given information, “Proof-of-work” makes it difficult for the miners by demanding that the final hash value should be of a certain format. The hash value should start with a certain number of zeroes. All the miners try to arrive at this output by adding a different nonce value each time they perform the calculation. When a miner generates this expected hash, the total block (containing the transactions and the hash value) is broadcasted to other nodes in the network. If all the transactions in that block are valid, the nodes accept the block and it’ll be attached to the existing blockchain. This block is final and cannot be altered. This process eliminates duplicate or double spending. This process also eliminates the danger of attackers trying to pass a wrong block as legitimate by determining majority representation based on CPU effort rather than IP address. If the decision is based on the votes by a majority of the IP addresses, a hacker who has control over 51% of these IP addresses can control the blockchain. To prevent this, the “majority decision is represented by the longest chain, which has the greatest proof-of-work effort invested in it.” [8] Therefore, if the majority of the CPU power is controlled by genuine nodes, the chain of these nodes will grow the fastest.

Bitcoin is the first ever electronic peer-to-peer payment system that was built based on the blockchain technology. Although Bitcoin has started a revolution in the financial sector with its digital cryptocurrency, the distributed ledger (blockchain) technology that underlies Bitcoin is considered as the true innovation. Though nascent and controversial, this technology is rapidly developing. Blockchains offer a framework to provide better coordination and transaction processing capabilities between the connected devices thus giving rise to a decentralized Internet of Things. [9]

Let’s take the Bitcoin blockchain as an example and look at some of the unique features offered by this technology.

## **2.2 Identity management in Blockchain:**

In the Bitcoin blockchain, every node has a wallet (bitcoin client) which manages all the accounts belonging to that node. Accounts are nothing but a combination of a public key and a private key. So, whenever a new account is created, a unique cryptographic key pair is generated. The hash of the public key acts as the account address of a node and all the addresses are public to the bitcoin network.




Figure 2.2 Bitcoin Wallet

So, when a node (N1) wants to send some bitcoins to another node (N2), a transaction is initiated from N1:

*Sender: A1, Receiver: A2, Value: 5 BTC*

Where A1, A2 are accounts of N1, N2 respectively.

Since account addresses (A1, A2) are public to the network, any node can pretend to be N1 and use A1 as the *sender* account. This problem is solved using digital signatures. When a transaction is initiated, the sender digitally signs that transaction with his/her corresponding private key. So, when the transaction is broadcasted to the network, every node which has the public key verifies the digital signature. If the signature matches, the transaction is considered valid, in the case of a mismatch, that transaction is discarded. Since this signature is verified by many nodes in the network, there’s no possibility of a faulty transaction getting processed as a valid transaction. In short, there is no way for a node to pretend as another node. Following is an illustration of the digital signature verification process in the Bitcoin network:




Figure 2.3 Digital Signature Verification Process in Bitcoin

## **2.3 Security, Transparency, and Tamper-Proof Data Storage:**

In the Bitcoin network, there exists a record of every bitcoin that has ever been produced/mined. Mining is the only process through which new bitcoins are generated so when every node in the network maintains a copy of the ledger, it’s easy to keep track of all the bitcoins. At any point in time, there’ll be many transactions that are being broadcasted into the network and every node gets a copy of these transactions. As depicted below, all these transactions are arranged in the form of a binary Merkle tree where the Merkle root is the transaction root.




Figure 2.4 Merkle Tree

Arranging the transactions in such a fashion provides a secure way of verifying any transaction as needed without having to download the complete blockchain. The integrity of a transaction can be verified through the block header, transaction, and the branch of the Merkle tree in which the transaction is present. So, after checking for identity and double spending, the valid transactions are arranged like this and placed into a block. A block can be divided into two parts: header and data. The data part contains all the transactions and the header part contains the transaction root, the hash of the previous block, timestamp, and a nonce value.




Figure 2.5 Block Header

The previous hash (hash of the previous block) is present to ensure a link between the blocks. Each block can be uniquely identified by its block hash which is generated from the four parameters in the block header (see diagram). Now, since this is a peer-to-peer network, every peer may not receive the transactions in the same order. So, based on their arrangement of transactions, the block hash might be different. Only one of all these blocks can be added to the blockchain because only a single record of a transaction can ever exist. Also, every peer cannot have their own version of a block as it puts the whole system at stake. So, there should be a way for all the peers to agree upon a single block as valid so that only that block gets added to the blockchain. To achieve this consensus, the bitcoin has a rule that the block hash should have a certain no. of leading zeros. So, all the nodes have three inputs with them – previous hash (Prev\_Hash), timestamp, and transaction root (Tx\_Root). They also know that the output should be in a certain format. Now, they keep adding a random nonce value to the inputs until they arrive at a target hash. Due to the nature of the hash function, it’s impossible to guess this nonce value. The only process to find this out is through trial and error method. The difficulty is exponentially proportional to the no. of leading zeros in the block hash. This nonce value is very difficult to find and often requires performing billions of hashes. This process is not energy efficient and requires special hardware with huge computational capacity. Once this nonce value is found out, the node broadcasts the entire block to the network and it’s very easy for other nodes to verify if this is the correct nonce. This nonce value proves that a node has done a significant amount of work before generating a block and hence the name Proof-of-Work.




Figure 2.6 Constituents of a Block Hash

After verification, this block is added to the blockchain and all the nodes start working on the next set of transactions.




Figure 2.7 Proof of Work

This Proof-of-Work mechanism is what makes the blockchain immutable. Imagine a scenario where a hacker wants to delete a transaction (Tx1) from Block 11 as shown in the above diagram. Here, all the other nodes are working on generating Block 13. So, if the hacker wishes to delete Tx1, the Tx\_Root of Block 11 changes and the previous nonce value is no more valid. The hacker needs to perform those billions of calculations again and find out a new nonce value. Since the hash of Block 11 (Prev\_Hash) is also used while calculating the hash of Block 12, the whole process should be repeated again to find a new nonce value for Block 12. It doesn’t stop here as the hacker should also create Block 13 before any other node does because all the nodes always follow the longest chain as this is the chain in which the majority of the CPU power is invested. In short, a single node should modify 2 blocks and create a new block while all the other nodes are trying to generate that new block only. Thus, it’s impossible for a hacker to modify the data present on the blockchain. This whole Proof-of-Work and block generation mechanism keeps the blockchain secure, transparent, and immutable.

## **2.4 Limitations of Bitcoin Blockchain:**

To unleash the true potential of the blockchain, the technologies and protocols used in the Bitcoin blockchain had to be tweaked. Following are some of the limitations which might hinder the application of blockchain to a wider range of industries:

**Block mining time:** In Bitcoin, the time required to mine each block is approximately 10 minutes. Bitcoin maintains this by setting a hash difficulty for each block. While this may be acceptable for financial transactions, it’s a major drawback for digital interactions between IoT devices. There might be a need to perform an immediate action, within seconds, resulting from a transaction of information between two devices. So, there is a need to decrease the block interval by manipulating the hash difficulty. [10]

**The Size of the distributed Ledger:** Since every record, right from the genesis block, is stored in the distributed ledger, it occupies a lot of space. The current size of this distributed ledger is approximately 90GB [11]. It’s impossible for all the IoT devices to have such storage capacity. Pruning is already being implemented and this can drastically reduce the disk usage. [12]

**Block Size Limit:** The block size in Bitcoin is limited to 1MB. All the blocks greater than this size are considered to be invalid and cannot be mined. This block size limits the no. of transactions per second. Currently, there are 3tps (transactions per second) and Bitcoin network supports a maximum of 7tps. There are a lot of debates going on regarding this block size. In an industrial IoT setting, the architecture should support many transactions per second. So, there cannot be any limitations on the block size. [13]

## **2.5 Risks of Adopting Blockchain:**

**Technology Change**: While blockchain presents an environment of non-tangible trusted third parties to its customers, it is a time consuming process for the technology to be unanimously welcomed. Customers must get comfortable with trusting the blockchain for electronic payments and transactions.

**Bootstrapping**: It would be a time consuming and cost intensive process to migrate existing contracts and business documents or framework to a blockchain methodology. For instance, documents related to liens which are Escrow companies must be converted to equivalent blockchain form which could be a challenging process.

**Government regulations**: It would be difficult for blockchain technology to be adopted by the existing financial institutions if the government regulatory status is unsettled.

**Quantum computing:** The primary concept behind the strength of blockchain is that it would be practically impossible for a single party to break the system due to the lack of computer power that is needed to break it. But by using quantum computing, it could be very easy to brute force the cryptographic keys in less time. However, there is also a possibility that the keys can get even stronger which would make such attacks less likely. [14]

**Capital costs:** Blockchain is very useful for cutting the transaction costs but the capital costs are inevitably high. [15]

# **3. Ethereum**

Ethereum is an open source project built by developers around the world similar to the Bitcoin protocol but much more adaptable and flexible since it allows users to build and utilize the decentralized applications running on the underlying blockchain technology. Generally, there are two approaches for building a blockchain application: Starting an independent network or establishing a protocol on top of Bitcoin. Ethereum introduced a blockchain with a built-in Turing-complete programming language that allows anyone to write smart contracts and decentralized applications with customized rules and parameters.

Contrary to the states in Bitcoin, Ethereum has accounts. The two types of accounts are: (1) Externally controlled accounts (or) User accounts, and (2) Contracts, i.e., Snippets of code. Transactions can be initiated from both type of accounts but the contracts can start a transaction only as a result of other transactions they have received. Contracts are written in a high-level programming language (e.g. Solidity) which is further converted into Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) bytecode. The built-in currency for the Ethereum network, Ether, can be used to exchange digital assets and it also provides a mechanism to pay the transaction fees. The smallest denomination of Ether is Wei (1018 Wei = Ether). In Ethereum, there is no block size limit like in Bitcoin, but there is a concept called “Gas” [16]. In Ethereum, all programmable computations including creating contacts, executing operations, and making message calls have universally agreed cost measured in terms of gas. Instead of a block size limit, there is a gas limit (set by the sender of the transaction) for every transaction which means that the validation of that transaction should not use more gas than the mentioned limit. The remaining gas that is unused at the end of the transaction is refunded to the sender account. Also, the block mining time in Ethereum is significantly reduced to an average of 15 seconds when compared to Bitcoin’s 10 minutes. This is done by the implementation of GHOST protocol, which is a policy for selection of the main chain in the block tree. However, this time can be further reduced depending on the size of transactions and the computational difficulty for validating a block.

**3.1 GHOST Protocol:**

Well-formed blocks which are verified by some nodes but have the same block – height (number of blocks away from the genesis block) since they are verified by the nodes at around the same time, cause a fork in the blockchain and only one of the blocks is added to the blockchain, casting off the other block which eventually becomes a stale block. In Ethereum, these stale blocks are termed ‘uncles’. "Greedy Heaviest Observed Subtree" GHOST protocol was proposed to combat the problem of reduced security and having a high number of stale blocks in blockchains with fast block time. In a situation where we desire a short block time and a minimized incentive for pooled mining, GHOST can be helpful since it involves the uncle blocks too in the calculation of the longest chain with highest cumulative difficulty. In order to solve the issue of centralization bias, block rewards are extended to the uncle blocks and nephew blocks(child of uncle block) too with the uncles and nephews receiving 87.5% and 12.5% of the base reward respectively. [19]

The GHOST protocol implemented by Ethereum involves seven levels. Each block states its parent and the number of uncles. The uncles of a block have the following features. The uncle block must be a direct child of the ancestor of the block B. The generation of the ancestor could lie between 2 and 7 but the uncle cannot be an ancestor of B. The uncle block does not necessarily have to be verified previously but has to be a valid block header. Each uncle block needs to be different from the uncles in the same block and those of the previous blocks. The uncle block’s miner receives 93.75% of the block reward and an additional 3.125% reward for each uncle it mines. [20]

**3.2 Smart contracts:**

The idea behind “smart contract” is to change the behavior of something, based on the input (data), when certain conditions are fulfilled. In the context of a distributed ledger system, a smart contract is a piece of code which is stored at a particular address on the blockchain. The address for storage is decided when creating a smart contract. When a transaction is sent to this address, the code in the smart contract gets executed, which might result in reading/writing data to the distributed ledger on which it is present. A smart contract can make decisions, read data, and execute other contracts.

While dealing with IoT devices, we would essentially want to perform some action based on the received data. For example, imagine a lux sensor that sends data to a system every one minute. Based on this data, that system will have to switch on/off the lights surrounding that sensor. If all the devices are connected, this might be possible in a normal setting. But, for this to work with an application built on the blockchain technology, smart contracts are required because they provide the logic for the on/off operations. Unfortunately, Ethereum’s implementation of smart contracts is completely deterministic and isolated i.e., a smart contract won’t have access to data which is external to the blockchain. It can only work with on-chain data. After coding a smart contract in a high-level language like Solidity or Serpent, the code will be compiled into EVM (Ethereum Virtual Machine) bytecode and will be stored at an address on the blockchain. It gets invoked when a transaction is sent to that address.

**3.3 Oracles:**

Smart contracts, in order to perform an action, might need data from external devices. Cryptographic signatures submitted by outside systems called ‘Oracles’ are relied upon to interact with the real world. So, oracles are trusted systems which provide signed data to smart contracts from the outside world. [17] When triggered, smart contracts are executed on all the nodes on which the ledger is present. But, when the code is based on advanced logic, the integration of smart contracts in the blockchain might get complicated. Smart oracle is a way of implementing smart contracts without making the consensus network complicated. It is a separate trusted entity which can gather information from the outside world and execute the code which pertains to the smart contract. So, the code for the smart contract is separated from the blockchain. Instead of being executed on all the nodes, the code gets executed on a single oracle. Although this concept is being discussed for a long time now, there are only a handful implementations on this. Currently, Oraclize and Reality Keys seems to be the most advanced companies in providing these oracle services. Following are some of the data sources that are supported by the oraclize service:




Figure 3.1 Data sources supported by Oraclize




Fig 3.2 Working of Oracle Service

Source: Both the diagrams are adapted from: "Overview." Oraclize. Web. 18 November 2016. <<http://docs.oraclize.it/#overview>>

URL is the most generic data source which can be used to access any API and any page on the internet. Oraclize will fetch the responses for these HTTP requests and feed it to smart contracts with an attached proof. Blockchain related data which cannot be accessed by smart contracts directly can also be fetched through oracles. Data like blockchain height, difficulty, and balance of a specific address etc., can be retrieved. To get data about the weather in a location, solution to mathematical problems, and any other simple statistics and data analysis, a computational knowledge engine like Wolfram Alpha can be used as a data source. The response from the Wolfrum Alpha API will be sent to the smart contract. If the smart contract requires a file which is stored in a distributed fashion using the Inter Planetary File System (IPFS) protocol, the multihash of that file can be used to retrieve the contents of that file. So, IPFS can also be used as a data source. [18]

**3.4 Public vs Private blockchains:**

**Public blockchains** can be accessed by any user across the world, valid transactions can be sent and added to the blockchain. Also, users can contribute to the consensus process which determines the blocks which are added to the blockchain. These blockchains are fully decentralized and they are secured by a combination of rewards based incentives and cryptographic verification schemes like proof of work/proof of stake.

**Private blockchains** - If an organization decides to run a blockchain application restricted to the users in that organization a private blockchain is a better choice where the write permissions are centralized for the organization and the read permissions could be public or restricted depending upon the organization’s needs. The transactions turn out to be cost effective in private blockchains since a large number of nodes are not required for the verification process thereby reducing the processing power. [21]

## **3.5 Algorithms and Protocols:**

**Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA):**

Ethereum uses ECDSA for generating public, private keys and performing digital signature creation, verification [16]. This comes under Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC), which is considered as the next generation of public key cryptography because of its significant advantages over current generation algorithms like RSA, DSA, and ElGamal [22]. Although Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems have been around for a long time, they became famous with the usage of ECDSA in Bitcoin. In ECC, the elliptic curve is generally of the form *y2 = x3+ax+b*. Like other group-based cryptographic algorithms, ECC also requires a finite field of elements, which usually contains integers modulo a prime *k,* and suitable security is achieved when *k* is close to 160 bits. The private key (p) is a random integer which is less than the order of the generator *n* and the public key (P) is calculated by multiplying the private key with a point on the elliptic curve (G) i.e., *P = pG*. In ECDSA, key pairs are generated with reference to a particular set of domain parameters such that the public key (P), the private key (p) and this set of domain parameters are mathematically related to each other [23].

In Ethereum, a 32-byte private key and a 64-byte public key is generated for every account. This public key undergoes a Keccak-256 hash resulting in a 32-byte output hash string. The right most or the last 20-bytes of this hash string is used as an account address [16]. This account address is usually prefixed with a ‘0x’ to represent that it is in Hexadecimal format. The mathematical and implementation details for ECDSA are quite complex and not easily understandable, which is one of the reasons why it is not being implemented at a large scale. In short, it can be thought of as an elliptic curve analog to Digital Signature Algorithm. As far as security is concerned, even after decades of research, cryptographers couldn’t come up with a computationally practicable method to solve the discrete-logarithm for elliptic curves. [24]

One of the primary advantages of ECC over traditional asymmetric key algorithms is the key size. Currently, RSA is the most popular and considered a secure encryption algorithm but, a 256-bit ECC key offers the same level of security as a 3072-bit RSA key. The following table offers a more detailed view of key comparisons:




Figure 3.3 RSA vs ECC Key Size Comparison

Source: “The case for Elliptic Curve Cryptography.” National Security Agency. 15 January 2009. Web. 3 October 2016.

So, even with a shorter key length, it requires more time to break an ECC key than an RSA key. Following is a graph comparing the key size and the MIPS years to break it for RSA, DSA, and ECC:




Figure 3.4 Time to Break key (MIPS years)

Source: Alimohammadi, M., and A. A. Pouyan. "Performance Analysis of Cryptography Methods for Secure Message Exchanging in VANET." *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* 5.2 (2014): 912.

One more interesting way to compare the difficulty levels of algorithms is that “a cryptosystem is said to offer pool security if breaking it requires as much energy as it takes to boil a single Olympic size swimming pool (i.e., 2500 cubic meters of water).” [25] By this standard, it takes less energy to break a 228-bit RSA key than to boil a teaspoon of water. Conversely, it would take more energy to break a 228-bit ECC key than to boil all the water on earth. [26]

Also, an ECC key requires less storage space when compared to an RSA key. The key generation time is faster in ECC and the encryption and decryption process utilizes less CPU. With all these advantages, ECDSA is suitable for high-end devices like laptops and desktops as well as low-end IoT devices like raspberry pis and other embedded systems. ECDSA offers efficient digital signature creation and verification services with less hardware and software resources while maintaining strong security. [27]

**Keccak-256:**

Keccak is a family of sponge functions which forms the basis for SHA-3 standard approved by the National Institute of Standards & Technology [28]. Usually, in primitive hash functions, the length of the output hash string is fixed, no matter what the input size is. But, in the case of a sponge construction, the hash function maps variable-length input to variable-length output. Cryptographic hash functions are said to be secure if their behavior is close to a random oracle i.e., a truly random output string is returned for every unique query. Since all the hash functions in use operate on a finite memory, there is a chance for collisions i.e., different inputs might result in the same output [29]. So, in practice, it is impossible to implement a truly random oracle [30]. But, the creators of Keccak claim that a random sponge, which can be obtained by applying the sponge construction with a random permutation, offers security that is close to a random oracle. So, random sponges can act as a substitute to random oracles in terms of providing security.

The sponge construction operates on a state of *b=r+c* bits where b denotes the width of the permutation, r is the bitrate, and c is the capacity. It is recommended to use the largest permutation (*b=1600*) but lower permutations (as low as *b=25*) are also available for environments with constrained resources. Each permutation consists the iteration of a simple round function. The round function is a permutation-substitution network with 5-bit S-boxes. The choice of operations in round function is limited to rotations, bitwise XOR, AND, and NOT. [29]

The arbitrary-output length makes Keccak applicable to various use cases of which tree hashing is one. This makes it suitable for building transaction trees in the Ethereum blockchain. Ethereum uses both Keccak 256-bit and Keccak-512 bit hash values. For Keccak 256, the parameters used are *r=1088, c=512, n=256*. For Keccak 512, *r=576, c=1024, n=512*. Here, n represents the no. of rounds. [31] Keccak complements previous standards like SHA-2 but offers better security and resistance to known attacks. All the popular hashing algorithms like MD5, SHA1, and SHA2 are based on the *Merkle-Damgard* construction which provides a method for building collision-resistant hash functions [32]. NIST wanted the algorithm for SHA3 standard to be resistant to attacks that can be performed on SHA2 so that it can act as a substitute to SHA2 if need be. With this principle in mind, Keccak used the sponge construction instead of *Merkle-Damgard,* so that any successful attack on SHA1, SHA2 will not have a potential threat on SHA3. Keccak was subject to cryptanalysis and is provably secure against generic attacks. Also, Keccak does not have the length-extension weakness which was present in SHA1 and SHA2.

While maintaining better security, Keccak has better performance in both hardware and software. Keccak is excellent in hardware performance and outperforms SHA2 by an order of magnitude. Throughput per area is considered as a major deciding factor while evaluating hardware performance and following is a comparison of 256-bit and 512-bit variants of SHA3 finalists:




Figure 3.5: Hardware performance comparison of 256-bit SHA3 finalists




Figure 3.6: Hardware performance comparison of 512-bit SHA3 finalists

Source: Latif, Kashif, et al. "Efficient hardware implementations and hardware performance evaluation of SHA-3 finalists." *Third SHA-3 Conf. March*. 2012.

On the other hand, Keccak has an overall good software performance and is faster than SHA2 on all modern PCs. Following table offers a comparison of different algorithms in terms of cycles/byte and strength:




Figure 3.7: Software Comparison of Hashing Algorithms

Source: Bertoni, Guido, et al. "Keccak and the SHA-3 Standardization." *NIST, Feb* (2013): 30.

Finally, it should be noted that SHA2 is the current NIST standard for hashing algorithms and any practical attack on SHA2 is not imminent. SHA3 was meant to be the fail-safe algorithm and is compatible with SHA2 message digests while offering better strength and efficient performance. [33]

**Kademlia:**

In Ethereum, the nodes communicate with each other through Recursive Length Prefix (RLP) protocol, an encrypted and authenticated transport protocol which is used as an encoding method to serialize objects [34]. Ethereum uses a Kademlia-like peer-to-peer Distributed Hash Table (DHT) to discover and establish connections with peers. This table in each peer consists a maximum of 255 rows and each row consists information of 5 to 20 peers. The information about a peer might include the peer address, network address, timestamp, peer’s signature and other meta-data. The identity of each node (node ID) is the 256-bit cryptographic hash of the node’s public keys, while in the original Kademlia protocol, node IDs are random 160-bit numbers. [35]

The original Kademlia protocol consists of four Remote Procedure Calls: PING, STORE, FIND\_NODE, and FIND\_VALUE but in the Ethereum version, FIND\_VALUE and STORE packets are not implemented. The packets are signed in Ethereum unlike in the original Kademlia implementation. The receiver retrieves the public key from the signature and verifies the signature for authenticity [36]. A request through these RPCs returns the *(IP address, UDP port, Node ID)* from the target node and the default port for peer discovery in Ethereum is 30303 [37]. In Ethereum, there exists few nodes which are always online and reliable. These nodes act as an entry point to the Ethereum network and all the new nodes that weren’t a part of the network before, connect to these nodes first. These are called *bootstrap* nodes and are hardcoded into Ethereum clients. Bootstrap nodes store the details of all the nodes connected to them within a period of time (which is predefined) and share these details about other peers to the newly joined nodes. Go-Ethereum clients, for the frontier network, have 3 bootstrap nodes hardcoded into them:




Figure 3.8 Bootstrap nodes in Go-Ethereum

Source: Szilágyi, Péter. “bootnodes.go”. Go-Ethereum. GitHub. 27 November 2015. Web. 14 November 2016. *go-ethereum/cmd/utils/bootnodes.go*

Once a newly joined node establishes a connection with the nearby nodes, it may prune the connections to bootstrap nodes since they’re no longer required in the peer discovery mechanism. If Universal Plug and Play (UPnP) is enabled on the router, an Ethereum node also accepts incoming requests and connections as well [38].

The connections in Ethereum are established through an encrypted handshake which is carried out in two phases. The first phase is the key exchange involving the transmission of key material derived through a Key Derivation Function (KDF) with Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange (ECDHE) - derived keying material. This key exchange takes place through an Elliptic Curve Integrated Encryption Scheme (ECIES) encrypted message which includes ephemeral keys for perfect forward secrecy. The second phase is the authentication and protocol negotiation phase and the capabilities that each node supports are exchanged during this phase. Two kinds of connections are possible in Ethereum, a node can connect to a known (previously connected) peer, or a node can connect to a new peer. In either case, the handshake should be successful i.e., if the handshake fails while initiating a connection to a known peer, the peer information should be deleted from the Distributed Hash Table and the connection to this peer should not be re-attempted. Once the connections are established, further communications are encrypted using the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) 256-bit key in the counter (CTR) cipher mode. [36]

Kademlia is an efficient protocol for finding and connecting to peers. For a network with *n* nodes, a single node contacts a maximum of *O(log (n))* nodes during the search. Also, the decentralized structure of the Kademlia protocol helps in preventing Denial of Service (DoS) attacks. [37]

**Ethash:**

Ethash is Ethereum’s Proof-of-Work system which is a combination of modified versions of Dagger and Hashimoto algorithms. This new system was developed to overcome the problems prevalent in other cryptocurrencies, the major one being ASIC-resistance. In most of the cryptocurrencies, mining is becoming more and more centralized due to the development of ASIC machines and certain companies building huge mining farms. The primary intention of Ethereum’s Ethash was to make CPU mining feasible and ASIC mining unprofitable thereby keeping the network decentralized. Even if ASICs are developed, the idea was to minimize the speed at which the mining can be done with ASICs, so that it’s marginally profitable for regular users to mine with CPUs. [39]

The Hashimoto algorithm is responsible for achieving ASIC resistance by making memory reads the limiting factor during mining. On the other hand, the Dagger algorithm is designed to achieve memory-hard computation and memory-easy verification (otherwise called as light client verifiability) by using directed acyclic graphs. For the PoW function to work, the client needs to select subsets of fixed dataset that is dependent on the nonce and block header. This dataset is called DAG and is approximately 1 GB in size [40]. This is one of the differences between Ethash and the original Hashimoto algorithm. The Hashimoto algorithm uses the blockchain as the data source while Ethash uses this custom generated DAG dataset. Following are the parameters for the algorithm:




Figure 3.9 Parameters for DAG

Source: “Ethash.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 30 June 2016. Web. 15 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/Ethash>>

For each block, a seed value can be calculated by skimming over the block headers until that point. A pseudo random cache that is approximately 16 MB in size can be computed from the seed value. From this cache, the DAG dataset is generated such that each item in this dataset depends on a small number of items from the cache. During mining, random slices from this dataset are accessed and hashed together so that the resulting hash string will result below a threshold depending on the difficulty thereby making the PoW system memory-hard. [16]

Initially, the DAG is 1GB in size and the size of this dataset grows linearly with every epoch period i.e., every 30,000 blocks or 100 hours. For every epoch, a new dataset is generated that is approximately 0.5 GB in size. So, unlike the original Dagger algorithm, the dataset in Ethash is semi-permanent and is updated periodically. These DAG files take some time to generate, and if DAG generation happens during the epoch transition, the network might experience a delay. If not mentioned explicitly, geth automatically generates and maintains DAGs for two epochs to ensure a smooth transition. Since DAG only depends on the block number, it should be pre-generated and stored beforehand to avoid long epoch transitions. [40]

# **4. Deploying a private blockchain using Ethereum on Raspberry Pi devices**

We are using Raspberry Pi devices to simulate nodes on an IoT network upon which a private blockchain network is deployed. The `specifications of the devices are as follows:

**Specifications:**

Number of processors : 4

Model name : ARMv7 Processor rev 4 (v7l)

BogoMIPS : 38.40

Features: half thumb fastmult vfp edsp neon vfpv3 tls vfpv4 idiva idivt vfpd32 lpae evtstrm crc32

CPU implementer : 0x41

CPU architecture : 7

CPU variant : 0x0

CPU part : 0xd03

CPU revision : 4

Hardware : BCM2709

Revision : a22082

Serial : 00000000ae85d2bb




Figure 4.1 Raspberry Pi OS version

## **4.1 Prerequisites:**

1. **Installing Git:**

Command: *sudo apt-get install git*

1. **Installing Node.js:**

*curl -sL https://deb.nodesource.com/setup\_6.x | sudo -E bash*

*sudo apt-get install -y nodejs*

1. **Installing Geth:**

For running the Go implementation of Ethereum node, we use a command line interface called geth. Using geth one can perform mining, fund transfers between addresses, creation of contracts, block history exploration, sending transactions and much more. [41]

The easiest way is to install Ethereum is to download the pre-compiled binary and run it.

1. **Using pre-compiled binary:** [42]

*Wget* [*https://build.ethdev.com/builds/ARM%20Go%20master%20branch/geth-ARM-latest.tar.bz2*](https://build.ethdev.com/builds/ARM%20Go%20master%20branch/geth-ARM-latest.tar.bz2)

*tar -xzvf geth-ARM-latest.tar.bz2*

*sudo cp build/bin/geth /usr/local/bin/*

1. **Building from Source:**

The dependencies for this process can be installed by issuing the following command:

*sudo apt-get install libgmp3-dev –y*

Downloading Geth source code and building it:

*git clone –b release/1.4.5* [*https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum.git*](https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum.git)

*cd go-ethereum*

*make geth*

*sudo cp build/bin/geth /usr/local/bin/*

Remove the “-b release/1.4.5” argument if you wish to download the latest (dev) version. [43]

## **4.2 Custom Genesis Block:**

The starting block of a blockchain is called the genesis block and this does not point to any predecessor blocks. It is the entry point into the blockchain database and defines all the initial parameters of blockchain database. The genesis block is created by using a JSON file which contains all the required parameters.



Figure 4.2 Custom Genesis.json file

## **4.3 Operating on a Private Blockchain:**

While running multiple Ethereum nodes in a private blockchain:

* All the nodes should have the same genesis file and same network ID.
* Each node should have a different empty folder for data storage (*--datadir*). All the blockchain data including account names and nodes is stored in this directory.
* Each node should run on a different ethereum (*--port*) and rpc port (*--rpcport*) if multiple nodes are deployed on the same subnet.
* If the ipc interface is not disabled, each node should have a unique ipc endpoint. This can be mentioned using the *--ipcpath* option.
* If a –datadir value is not specified at the launch of geth then the default values are used.

The default directory for different OS are mentioned below:

* + Mac: ~/Library/Ethereum
  + Linux: ~/.ethereum
  + Windows: %APPDATA%/Ethereum

**Starting a Node:**

*geth --datadir “/home/pi/private/blockchain” --networkid 3141592 --ipcdisable --port 30302 --rpcport 8102 init /home/pi/private/customgenesis.json* (Initializing)

*geth --datadir “/home/pi/private/blockchain” --networkid 3141592 --ipcdisable --port 30302 --rpcport 8102 console* (Starting)

After starting these instances, check for a similar message on the console:

*I0829 13:30:07.3423438 3647 backend.go:303] Successfully wrote genesis block. New genesis hash =<Hash value of the Genesis Block >*

This confirms the successful start of the node with a private blockchain. On the contrary if “*Warning: Wrote default ethereum genesis* *block”* is displayed, then starting the node failed due to some error.

**Adding Nodes:**

Each node can be identified by a unique enode URI. This can be obtained from the console using the *admin.nodeInfo.enode* command. Following is the function for connecting to a node:

*admin.addPeer(“<enode URI>”)*

Format of enode: *<uniqueID>@IP\_Address:Ethereum\_port#*

Before adding the nodes, check whether the network is active using the *net.listening* command from the console. It returns *true* if active. After adding the node, we can verify whether this action with *net.peerCount* command. This should be the same on all the nodes. I have added single node so “1” is returned. We can check the list of all peers using the *admin.peers* command. This can also be checked from the other node.

Geth also supports a feature called static nodes if you have certain peers you always want to connect to. Static nodes are re-connected on disconnects. You can configure permanent static nodes by putting something like the following into <datadir>/static-nodes.json:


****

Figure 4.3 Sample static-nodes.json

**Creating accounts:**

Accounts on any node can be created in two ways:

* From the *geth* command
* From the javascript console

Geth command: *geth account new* – prompts to create a password and displays account address

JavaScript console: *personal.newAccount(“<password>”);* - displays the account address.

Two ethereum accounts can have the same password.

**Listing all the accounts:**

*geth account list* – Gives the accounts created on the public ethereum blockchain

*geth --datadir /path/to/directory account list* – Gives accounts created on the private blockchain

The same result can be achieved by typing *eth.accounts* in the javascript console. If a node has multiple accounts, each account can be accessed by their index. For example:

Account 1 can be accessed by *eth.accounts[0]*

Account 2 can be accessed by *eth.accounts[1]*

**Unlocking accounts:**

Before initiating transactions, the accounts involved must be unlocked. In our case, we are sending ether from the first account (eth.accounts[0]) to the second account (eth.accounts[1]). Both account are present on the same node (N2).

*personal.unlockAccount(eth.accounts[0],”password”)*

*personal.unlockAccount(eth.accounts[1],”password”)*

Sending ether without unlocking an account will result in an error.

All the accounts should be unlocked from the node in which they are present. Accounts need to be unlocked only for sending transactions. Receiver and miner need not have their accounts unlocked. Account locking is a way of protecting the associated private key of an account. In case the private key is stolen, the attacker cannot make use of the funds in that account unless he/she knows the private key. Accounts can also be unlocked for a specific duration using the following command:

*personal.unlockAccount(eth.accounts[0], “password”, 1000)*

Here, the account will be unlocked for 1000 seconds.

**Initiating a transaction:**

To initiate transactions from a node issue the following command:

*eth.sendTransaction({from: sender, to: recipient, value: amount})*

**Mining:**

After starting the transaction, the ether won’t be transferred immediately. This transaction has to be mined. To start and stop mining the following commands are used:

*miner.start(1)* – The argument represents the # of miner threads.

*miner.stop()* – To stop mining.

Due to computational limitations, raspberry nodes cannot perform mining and would throw the following errors.

****

Figure 4.4Error when mining on Raspberry pi

For this purpose, we are introducing a different node specifically for mining purpose and the system specifications of the miner are as below:

Make: Dell Inspiron 14z - 5423

Operating System: Windows 10

Memory: 4GB

Processor: 1.7 GHZ Intel Core i7

Graphics: AMD 1GB Radeon

## **4.4 Benchmarking Ethereum**

For the purpose of benchmarking ethereum functionality on IoT devices, we have deployed a private blockchain using three Raspberry Pi devices, one Windows Server 2016 running on Amazon EC2 and a Windows laptop which serves as the miner.

The final state of the blockchain with 12098 blocks upon which the benchmarking is performed is given below.


****

Figure 4.5 Final State of the Blockchain with 12098 blocks

**4.5 Observations:**

**1. CPU Utilization:**

**a) Miner**




Figure 4.6 Graph plotted between Time (2- minute interval) vs CPU resource consumption for Miner Node

We have initiated only CPU mining with a single thread by issuing miner.start(1) and observed that the CPU utilization by “geth” process grows exponentially as the blockchain size increases.

**b) Raspberry Pi Nodes:**


****

Figure 4.7 Time (2-minute interval) vs CPU resource consumption graph for 3 Raspberry Nodes

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Descriptive statistics | Node 1 CPU | Node 2 CPU | Node 3 CPU |
| N | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| Min | 9.6 | 17.3 | 26.3 |
| Max | 10 | 23.1 | 31.5 |
| Mean | 9.913718412 | 20.50722022 | 28.81624549 |
| First Quartile | 9.9 | 18.1 | 28 |
| Median | 9.9 | 21.6 | 29 |
| Third Quartile | 10 | 22.5 | 29.7 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.105581936 | 2.164786747 | 1.208658437 |
| Variance | 0.011147545 | 4.686301659 | 1.460855218 |
| Standard Error | 0.006343804 | 0.13006943 | 0.072621247 |

Figure 4.8 Table showing statistics for the CPU resource consumption of 3 Raspberry Nodes

We have observed that the CPU utilization of geth process on the raspberry pi nodes didn’t fluctuate much and displayed a maximum standard deviation of 2.16 units.

**2. RAM Utilization**

**a) Miner**


****

Figure 4.9 Time (2-minute interval) vs RAM (Bytes) consumption graph for Miner Node

It was observed that the consumption of RAM memory by the miner was linear and neither the blockchain size or block difficulty has any effect on the RAM consumption.

**b) Raspberry Pi Nodes:**


****

Figure 4.10 Time (2-minute interval) vs RAM (Bytes) consumption graph for 3 Raspberry Nodes

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Descriptive statistics | Node 1 Ram | Node 2 Ram | Node 3 Ram |
| N | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| Min | 16.4 | 12.6 | 15.2 |
| Max | 19.8 | 19 | 20.1 |
| Mean | 18.34115523 | 16.33068592 | 17.43429603 |
| First Quartile | 17.9 | 13.5 | 16.9 |
| Median | 18.5 | 17.9 | 17.6 |
| Third Quartile | 18.9 | 18.5 | 17.9 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.72587761 | 2.427362945 | 0.846492418 |
| Variance | 0.526898304 | 5.892090865 | 0.716549414 |
| Standard Error | 0.043613759 | 0.145846104 | 0.0508608 |

Figure 4.11 Table showing statistics for the RAM (Bytes) consumption of 3 Raspberry Nodes

It was observed that even though the ram usage at the start was different on each node, they start to converge from a point and the RAM usage displayed similar trends on all the devices from this point. Two out of the three nodes have not displayed any noticeable fluctuations in RAM usage and the standard deviation was less than one unit.

**3. CPU Temperature**

**a) Raspberry Pi**


****

Figure 4.12 Time (2-minute interval) vs CPU core temperature(oC) graph for 3 Raspberry Nodes

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Descriptive statistics | Node 1 CPUTemperature | Node 2 CPUTemperature | Node 3 CPUTemperature |
| N | 277 | 277 | 277 |
| Min | 55.8 | 45.6 | 59.1 |
| Max | 65.5 | 59.1 | 72.5 |
| Mean | 58.63465704 | 47.88736462 | 61.64476534 |
| First Quartile | 58 | 47.2 | 60.1 |
| Median | 58.5 | 47.2 | 61.2 |
| Third Quartile | 59.1 | 48.3 | 62.3 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.222188503 | 1.626235466 | 2.059340708 |
| Variance | 1.493744738 | 2.644641791 | 4.240884151 |
| Standard Error | 0.07343419 | 0.097711019 | 0.123733791 |

Figure 4.13 Table showing statistics for CPU core temperature (oC) data of 3 Raspberry Nodes

**4. BlockChain Exploration**

**a) Mining Difficulty:**


****

Figure 4.14 Block Number vs Block Difficulty graph for blockchain

Initially, we have started the private blockchain with the difficulty set to **0x200**. This value controls the block generation time in our private blockchain. However, this difficulty is designed to be static. Over time, this difficulty increases so that the block generation time will fall to a default ~15 seconds (block time in Ethereum public blockchain). This is due to the design of the difficulty adjustment algorithm.

**Formula:**

*block\_diff = parent\_diff + parent\_diff // 2048 \* max(1 - (block\_timestamp - parent\_timestamp) // 10, -99) + int(2\*\*((block.number // 100000) - 2)).* [44]

Where // is the integer division operator, eg. 6 // 2 = 3, 7 // 2 = 3, 8 // 2 = 4.

**What does this mean?**

If the timestamp difference (block\_timestamp - parent\_timestamp) is:

* < 10 seconds, the difficulty is adjusted upwards by parent\_diff // 2048 \* 1
* 10 to 19 seconds, the difficulty is left unchanged
* >= 20 seconds, the difficulty is adjust downwards proportional to the timestamp difference, from *parent\_diff // 2048 \* -1* to a max downward adjustment of *parent\_diff // 2048 \* -99*

So, according to the algorithm, the difficulty level is dynamically modified and is directly dependent on the timestamp difference between the blocks.

*“This guarantees to keep block time in the 10-20 range and according to simulations restores the target 15 second blocktime.”*[45]

**Making the mining difficulty static for a private blockchain:**

Since the difficulty algorithm is present in the implementations of Ethereum (geth in our case), it can be modified to return a static block time.

*func CalcDifficulty(<parameters>) { return big.NewInt(0x4000) }*

This sets the difficulty target of every block to a constant value of 0x4000. Geth should be built from source for this to take effect. However, the network should be tested for uncle rate and orphan blocks.

**b) Block Time:**


****

Figure 4.15 Graph plotted between Block Number vs Block Time for blockchain


****

Figure 4.16 Sub-part (block number 2000 - 3000) of above Graph




Figure 4.17 Sub-part (block number 10000 - 12000) of above Graph

The graph plotted between Block vs Block Time displayed similar trends as observed in the Block vs Difficulty graph. As the difficulty of the block increased, the time taken to add the block to the chain also increased till a point and as the difficulty is auto adjusted so was the time taken to add a block to the chain.

**c) Total Difficulty**


****

Figure 4.18 Graph plotted between Block Number vs Total Block difficulty for blockchain

The accumulated sum of all the blocks difficulties prior to a block gives the total difficulty of a block. This value is taken into consideration to determine the longest chain by the client in case of a fork. The chain with the highest difficulty is chosen in such a case.

# **5. Eris**

Eris is a blockchain application platform which enables the building of decentralized applications and smart contracts. This platform believes in the notion that bitcoins or any monetary asset values are not essential for the existence of blockchains. Eris perceives blockchains as “distributed rulebooks” which can keep a track of the modifications made and the list of permissions assigned by using private keys for a secure data management. Eris aims at providing developers with blockchain structures that include expansive coding to foster communication. [46]

Contrasting Eris, Ethereum as we discussed is designed to use Ether crypto currency and make transactions on the blockchain. However, Eris focuses on building permissioned blockchains enabling users to define properties for their use cases and achieve secure transmission of data.

## **5.1 Features**:

Eris is a modular platform that simplifies building, testing and running ecosystem applications with the help of numerous tools and connected services. The main features of Eris are:

**Chains**:

*Eris chains* is invaluable for unlocking permissionable blockchains optimized by smart contracts. Erisdb client is the industry leader for this. *Eris chains* provides developers with a wide variety of options to create, administer and operate different kinds of blockchains. These are operated from a base of chain definition files. The command: ‘eris chains’ in a docker quickstart terminal gives various options for which it can be used.

**Packages**:

*Eris pkgs* facilitates in unlocking the power of smart contract systems. Smart contracts are deployed to *eris chains* and eris pkgs provides various options to developers to create, build, test, and deploy complex systems of these smart contracts. Pkgs can be used to test smart contracts both on blockchain networks as well as on throwaway chains. Throw away chains are solely for testing smart contract packages. The command: ‘eris pkgs’ in a docker quickstart terminal gives various options for which it can be used.

**Keys**:

Eris keys expose their developers to get up to speed with modeling the ecosystem applications. It provides the reference API implementation for wallet makers as well as signing solutions to be able to work on the eris platform. This is intended only for development use. For production use, a different keys service should be made and fully audited before it is implemented. The command: ‘eris keys’ in a docker quickstart terminal gives various options for managing the key pairs.

**Files**:

Eric files leverages the power of distributed data lakes. It provides functionality for working with content addressable and distributed management systems. It is used for quick file sharing from the host. The command: ‘eris files’ in a docker quickstart terminal gives various options for distributed file sharing.

**Services**:

Eris services unlocks the services that an application relies on. This is primarily used for integrating micro services into an eco-system application. The service definition files are mainly used while starting a Docker container. The command: ‘eris services’ in a docker quickstart terminal gives information on eris interacts with services. [47]

## **5.2 Components**:

**Eris CLI:**

Eris:cli is a tool that is used by developers to test, build, manage, and operate smart contract applications. It is a wrapper around the Docker’s API. This is the entry point for the Eris platform. [48]

**Eris DB:**

Eris DB is the blockchain client of Eris. It constitutes the Tendermint consensus, an implementation of Ethereum’s virtual machine, and a permissions layer. Eris-db is used through the command line interface by the eris-chains command. [49]

**Eris chain manager tooling:**

It is a high-level tool which is used for executing complex operations on Eris chains. On the design, nature, and level lines, it is similar to eris-pm (eris package manager). This is used in providing an interface for the modular components on the eris platform. [50]

**Eris package manager tooling:**

This is a utility which is mainly used for testing and deploying smart contract packages. This provides access to eris-db tooling. Eris package manager is primarily used for deploying and testing suites of smart contracts. This also defines what jobs should be run and the order in which they should be run. [51]

## **5.3 Tendermint:**

Eris has various components for creating, deploying, and managing blockchains. It also has some unique features that are not present in Ethereum (e.g. permissioned blockchains). But, as far as the internal mechanisms are concerned, Eris is essentially running the Ethereum Virtual Machine combined with a Tendermint consensus engine. As opposed to Ethereum’s Proof-of-Work consensus (Ethash), Eris achieves consensus through a Proof-of-Stake mechanism i.e., Tendermint. In the PoW model, users are asked to perform complex cryptographic calculations and solve intense mathematical problems to validate transactions and create blocks. These calculations cannot be performed by simple computers and miners need to purchase special hardware, which is worth thousands of dollars, to keep the crypto-currency network stable. Also, these “mining rigs” consume a lot of energy. This means that a cryptocurrency system working on a PoW mechanism is dependent on costly hardware and uses up a lot of electric power. Most of the miners are interested in these projects because of the financial incentives. But, as the incentive decreases and the difficulty increases, miners will opt out of the network and mining through PoW might not be a feasible option for keeping the network secure. Due to these disadvantages, PoS is considered a better option for achieving consensus in a distributed system. [52]

In a PoS mechanism, a node which validates a block has to provide a proof that it has access to a certain amount of coins. It requires users that have a high stake at the currency (i.e. hold a lot of coins) to determine the next block. As opposed to miners in Bitcoin and Ethereum, the Tendermint consensus engine consists of validators. Each validator owns some stake in the network which they bond. Bonding stake means you deposit some money into the network, and in some sense use it as a collateral to vouch for a block. In PoW, a valid chain is identified by the amount of work put behind it, while in PoS, a chain with the highest collateral is trusted and considered to be valid. However, this doesn’t mean that an account with the highest balance always gets to confirm all the transactions as it would lead to centralization of the system because, the person with the most no. of coins can control the transactions. So, PoS should have a way of defining the next valid block in any blockchain. In Tendermint, this is achieved through voting and some other mechanisms in the Tendermint *state*. [53]

In distributed systems, Byzantine faults are considered dangerous because the presence of a Byzantine fault breaks the harmony of the system i.e., the Byzantine nodes in a distributed system will no longer be able to secure the system because they don’t perform in an expected manner due to conflicting and incorrect results. The term Byzantine was used because this situation is compared to a group of generals from the Byzantine army are communicating with other only through messengers to agree upon the same battle plan. There is a possibility that one or more of the generals are traitors who will try to confuse others. These faults are different from fail-stop failures. In fail-stop failures, if a single node in a distributed system starts to malfunction, that node simply halts and doesn’t communicate with other nodes. In the case of Byzantine faults, a malicious node sends conflicting messages to other nodes and these situations are difficult to handle. [54]

Tendermint is a high-performance enterprise ready consensus engine which can be used to develop and run Byzantine fault tolerant applications. The validators sign the block to say that they approve that this is the correct block. Following is the block structure in Tendermint:




Figure 5.1 Block structure in Tendermint

Source: Kwon, Jae. "TenderMint: Consensus without Mining." *URL http://tendermint. com/docs/tendermint {\_} v04. pdf* (2014).

So, a block gets added to the blockchain when it gets signed by the majority of validators. As long as 2/3rd or more of the voting power of validators sign the block, it becomes officially committed. The 2/3rd majority is chosen because, in case a fork occurs, at least 1/3rd of the validators have signed for both the blocks so this means that the system can tolerate up to 1/3rd of Byzantine participants. So, if more than 1/3rd of the nodes are byzantine nodes, the consensus cannot be guaranteed. In Bitcoin and Ethereum, anybody can mine blocks. They just need to have the required hardware and the supply of electricity. This is called permission-less validation. The Tendermint ideology considers this a bug, not a feature. Particularly in the Enterprise industry, this kind of system might lead to the violation of regulations. Tendermint uses Ed25519. Batch verification is very fast i.e., 71000 signature verification per second. In tendermint, an address is the RIPEMD 160 hash of an Ed25519 public key. [53]

Some key advantages of Tendermint (and Eris) over ethereum are that the block time can be as low as 2 seconds. There’s no block size limit and the network can handle 10,000 transactions per second if the transaction size is 250 bytes. Due to the usage of public keys for signing the blocks, accountability is maintained and liability can be determined when a blockchain fork occurs. [55]

# **6. Deploy Eris blockchain on IoT (ARM) devices**

## **6.1 Prerequisites:**

1. **Install Docker**
2. **Build the Docker installation package**

*git clone https://github.com/hypriot/rpi-docker-builder.git*

*cd rpi-docker-builder*

*sudo sh build.sh*

*sudo sh run-builder.sh*

1. **Install the docker installation package**

*curl -sSL* [*http://downloads.hypriot.com/docker-hypriot\_1.10.3-1\_armhf.deb*](http://downloads.hypriot.com/docker-hypriot_1.10.3-1_armhf.deb) *>/tmp/docker-hypriot\_1.10.3-1\_armhf.deb*

*sudo dpkg -i /tmp/docker-hypriot\_1.10.3-1\_armhf.deb*

*rm -f /tmp/docker-hypriot\_1.10.3-1\_armhf.deb*

*sudo sh -c 'usermod -aG docker $SUDO\_USER'*

*sudo systemctl enable docker.service*

For the latest version follow the below mentioned steps:

*apt-get install -y apt-transport-https*

*wget -q https://packagecloud.io/gpg.key -O - | sudo apt-key add –*

*echo 'deb https://packagecloud.io/Hypriot/Schatzkiste/debian/ jessie main' | sudo tee /etc/apt/sources.list.d/hypriot.list*

*apt-get update*

*apt-get install -y docker-hypriot*

*systemctl enable docker*

To check if docker is properly installed give to get the below output: *sudo docker info*




Figure 5.2 sudo docker info output

At this point, we are currently blocked by an issue corresponding to getting the ARM device specific Eris installation files. Moreover, the documentation for Eris is ambiguous and not up to date. Installation of Eris is dependent on various other components like Docker etc., and issues being faced with these dependencies is further delaying the process. We have logged an issue [56] with Eris GitHub community and we tried out various other alternatives suggested. [57]




Figure 5.3 Error faced while installing Eris on Arm devices

Following the alternatives provided we tried to install Eris using a shell script [58] provided and still couldn’t resolve the error:

error in setup.sh

Figure 5.4 Error installing Eris using shell script

# **References:**

[1] Chui, Michael, Markus Löffler and Roger Roberts. "The Internet of Things | McKinsey & Company." McKinsey & Company | Global management consulting. Mar 2010. Web. 14 November 2016. <<http://www.mckinsey.com/industries/high-tech/our-insights/the-internet-of-things>>

[2] Nixon, Allison, John Costello and Zach Wikholm. "Flashpoint - An After-Action Analysis of the Mirai Botnet Attacks on Dyn." Flashpoint - MAKE MORE INTELLIGENT DECISIONS. 25 Oct 2016. Web. 14 Nov 2016. <http://www.flashpoint-intel.com/action-analysis-mirai-botnet-attacks-dyn/>

[3] Barcena, Mario Ballano and Candid Wueest. "Insecurity in the Internet of Things." Symantec. 12 Mar 2015. Web. 15 Nov 2016. <http://www.symantec.com/content/dam/symantec/docs/white-papers/insecurity-in-the-internet-of-things-en.pdf>

[4] "Bitcoin." Wikipedia. Wikimedia Foundation, 29 June 2016. Web. 30 Oct. 2016. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bitcoin

[5] Coindesk. "What Is Bitcoin? - CoinDesk." CoinDesk. N.p., 28 Aug. 2015. Web. 11 Nov. 2016 <http://www.coindesk.com/information/what-is-bitcoin/>

[6] "What is Bitcoin?" FAQ. PoolHash Technologies Limited. Web. 12 November 2016. <http://www.poolhash.com/faq/view/category\_id/2/>

[7] C. Todd Gibson, and Tyler Kirk. "Blockchain 101 for Asset Managers." The Investment Lawyer. Wolters Kluwer, 10 Oct. 2016. Web. 13 Nov. 2016. <http://www.klgates.com/files/Publication/921292ec-0e76-4b4b-adf4-036b15c175fe/Presentation/PublicationAttachment/6489032d-7722-4dcc-a10c-09e7e9b4350e/Blockchain\_101\_for\_Asset\_Managers.pdf>

[8] Nakamoto, Satoshi. "Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system." (2008).

[9] Rosenfeld, Meni. "Analysis of Hashrate-based Double-spending." Bitcoil.co, 13 December 2012. Web. 13 November 2016. <https://bitcoil.co.il/Doublespend.pdf>

[10] Theymos. "Difficulty." Difficulty - Bitcoin Wiki. N.p., 19 Dec. 2010. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Difficulty

[11] "Blockchain Size." Blockchain.info. Blockchain Luxembourg, n.d. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://blockchain.info/charts/blocks-size

[12] Bitcoin. "Bitcoin/bitcoin." GitHub. Github INC, 10 July 2015. Web. 14 Nov. 2016. https://github.com/bitcoin/bitcoin/issues/6461

[13] Smartbit | Bitcoin Blockchain. Smartbit, n.d. Web. 15 Nov. 2016. https://www.smartbit.com.au/

[14] Bitcoin, Beyond. "BlockChain Technology." (2015).

[15] Boersma, Jacob, and Jaroen Butlers. "Blockchain Technology: 9 Benefits & 7 Challenges | Deloitte." Deloitte Nederland. 2016. Web. 16 November 2016. <<https://www2.deloitte.com/nl/nl/pages/innovatie/artikelen/blockchain-technology-9-benefits-and-7-challenges.html>>

[16] Wood, Gavin. "Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger." *Ethereum Project Yellow Paper* (2014).

[17] "Smart Oracles: A Simple, Powerful Approach to Smart Contracts” codius/codius Wiki. GitHub. Web. 16 Nov 2016. <http://github.com/codius/codius/wiki/Smart-Oracles:-A-Simple,-Powerful-Approach-to-Smart-Contracts>.

[18] "API Reference." Oraclize. Web. 18 November 2016. <<http://docs.oraclize.it/#overview>>

[19] "White Paper.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. Web. 17 November 2016.

<http://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/White-Paper#modified-ghost-implementation>.

[20] "What is the GHOST protocol for Ethereum? | CryptoCompare.com." CryptoCompare.com. 29 February 2016. Web. 18 November 2016. <http://www.cryptocompare.com/coins/guides/what-is-the-ghost-protocol-for-ethereum/>.

[21] "Public versus Private Blockchains." Bitfury. 20 Oct 2015. Web. 18 Nov 2016. <http://bitfury.com/content/5-white-papers-research/public-vs-private-pt1-1.pdf>.

[22] Alimohammadi, M., and A. A. Pouyan. "Performance Analysis of Cryptography Methods for Secure Message Exchanging in VANET." *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* 5.2 (2014): 912.

[23] Gallagher, P., and C. Kerry. "Fips pub 186-4: Digital signature standard, dss (2013)."

[24] Johnson, Don, Alfred Menezes, and Scott Vanstone. "The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), Certicom Corporation." (2001).

[25] Lenstra, Arjen K., Thorsten Kleinjung, and Emmanuel Thomé. "Universal security." *Number theory and cryptography*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. 121-124.

[26] Sullivan, Nick. “A (Relatively Easy to Understand) Primer on Elliptic Curve Cryptography." CloudFlare. 24 October 2013. Web. 30 September 2016.

[27] Maletsky, Kerry. “RSA vs ECC comparison for Embedded Systems”

[28] “NIST Releases SHA-3 Cryptographic Hash Standard.” National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). 21 September 2016. Web. 5 November 2016. <<https://www.nist.gov/news-events/news/2015/08/nist-releases-sha-3-cryptographic-hash-standard>>

[29] Bertoni, Guido, et al. "Sponge functions." *ECRYPT hash workshop*. Vol. 2007. 2007.

[30] Copeland, B. Jack. "The church-turing thesis." *Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy* (2002).

[31] Gerring, Taylor. “python\_sha3.py.” pyethsaletool. Ethereum. GitHub. 18 June 2014. Web. 7 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/pyethsaletool/blob/master/python_sha3.py>>

[32] Merkle, Ralph Charles, and Ralph Charles. "Secrecy, authentication, and public key systems." (1979).

[33] Kayser, Richard F. "Announcing request for candidate algorithm nominations for a new cryptographic hash algorithm (SHA-3) family." *Federal Register* 72.212 (2007): 62.

[34] Tual, Stephen. “RLP.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 18 August 2016. Web. 12 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/RLP>>

[35] Buterin, Vitalik. “Kademlia Peer Selection.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 5 October 2015. Web. 10 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/Kademlia-Peer-Selection>>

[36] Leverington, Alex. “devp2p.” Ethereum. GitHub. 8 October 2015. Web. 12 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/devp2p/blob/master/rlpx.md>>

[37] Maymounkov, Petar, and David Mazieres. "Kademlia: A peer-to-peer information system based on the xor metric." *International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Systems*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.

[38] Gerring, Taylor. “Connecting to the Network.” Go-Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 23 June 2016. Web. 13 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/wiki/Connecting-to-the-network>>

[39] “Dagger Hashimoto.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 30 September 2015. Web. 15 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/blob/master/Dagger-Hashimoto.md>>

[40] “Mining.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 2 September 2016. Web. 15 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/Mining>>

[41] “Command Line Options.” Ethereum Wiki. GitHub. 17 November 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. <<https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/wiki/Command-Line-Options>>

[42] “Installation Instructions for ARM.“ ARM Ethereum Frontier Guide. GitBook. Web. 17 November 2016. <<https://ethereum.gitbooks.io/frontierguide/content/installing_arm.html>>

[43] Mccone, Robert. “Build Your Own Raspberry Pi Ethereum Full Node [Go Client].” Raspnode. 5 February 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. <<http://raspnode.com/diyEthereumGeth.html>>

[44] “block\_validator.go.” core. go-ethereum. Ethereum. GitHub. 13 November 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. <https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/commits/master/core/block\_validator.go>

[45] “The Homestead Release .“ The Homestead Release. Ethereum Homestead 01 Documentation. 29 March 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. <<http://ethdocs.org/en/latest/introduction/the-homestead-release.html>>

[46] Rizzo, Pete. "Eris COO: Private and Public Blockchains Need to Co-Exist." Bitcoin News, Prices, Charts, Guides & Analysis - CoinDesk. 2 Aug 2015. Web. 18 Nov 2016. <http://www.coindesk.com/eris-coo-private-and-public-blockchains-need-to-co-exist/>.

[47] "Platform." Monax. N.p., n.d. Web. 16 Nov. 2016 <<https://monax.io/platform/>>

[48] "Documentation | Command Line Interface." Monax. Web. 16 Nov. 2016. <<https://monax.io/docs/documentation/cli/>>

[49] "Documentation | Blockchain Client." Monax. Web. 16 Nov. 2016. <<https://monax.io/docs/documentation/db/>>

[50] "Documentation | Chain Manager Tooling." Monax. Web. 17 Nov. 2016. <<https://monax.io/docs/documentation/cm/>>

[51] "Documentation | Package Manager Tooling." Monax. Web. 17 Nov. 2016. <<https://monax.io/docs/documentation/pm/>>

[52] Buterin, Vitalik. “What Proof of Stake is and Why it Matters.” Bitcoin Magazine. 26 August 2013. 16 November 2016. <<https://bitcoinmagazine.com/articles/what-proof-of-stake-is-and-why-it-matters-1377531463>>

[53] Kwon, Jae. "TenderMint: Consensus without Mining." URL http://tendermint. com/docs/tendermint {\_} v04. pdf (2014).

[54] Lamport, Leslie, Robert Shostak, and Marshall Pease. "The Byzantine generals problem." *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS)* 4.3 (1982): 382-401.

[55] Kwon, Jae, Buchman, Ethan. “Introduction.” Tendermint Wiki. GitHub. 7 August 2016. Web. 16 November 2016. https://github.com/tendermint/tendermint/wiki/Introduction

[56] “Installing Eris on ARM devices failing #345.” Eris-db.eris-ltd. GitHub. 14 October 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. https://github.com/eris-ltd/eris-db/issues/345

[57] “Setting up eris on ARM #990.” Eris-db.eris-ltd. GitHub. 14 October 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. https://github.com/eris-ltd/eris-cli/issues/990.

[58] Zramsay, Zach.“ setup.sh.“ Setup. Arm. Cloud. Hello-eris. Eris-ltd. GitHub. 4 October 2016. Web. 17 November 2016. https://github.com/eris-ltd/eris-db/issues/345